## UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

## **CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -55)**

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 987 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Sep 21, 2018

UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office. Attest: Sandy Opacich, Clerk U.S. District Court, Northern District of Ohio

By: /s/Robert Pitts
Deputy Clerk

## SCHEDULE CTO-55 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<b>DIST</b>	DIV.	C.A.NO.	CASE CAPTION
ALABAMA MIDDLE			
ALM	2	18-00761	City of Clanton, Alabama v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN			
CAN	1	18-05353	City of Eureka et al v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
LOUISIANA MIDDLE			
LAM	3	18-00817	Bossier City v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
LAM	3	18-00818	St. James Parish v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
LAM	3	18-00819	Beauregard Parish Police Jury v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
MASSACHUSETTS			
MA	1	18-11878	Town of Aquinnah, Massachusetts v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MA	1	18-11880	Town of West Bridgewater v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MA	1	18-11886	Town of Kingston, Massachusetts v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MA	1	18-11887	City of Brockton, Massachusetts v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MA	1	18-11888	Town of Walpole, Massachusetts v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MA	3	18-30144	Town of Longmeadow v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MA	4	18-40148	Town of Millbury, Massachusetts v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MICHIGAN WESTERN			
MIW	1	18-00983	Branch, County of v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al

## MISSOURI EASTERN

MOE 4 18-01477 Jefferson County et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 9/20/18

WEST VIRGINIA SOUTHERN

WVS 2 18-01263 The City of Buckhannon, West Virginia v. McKesson Corporation et al